1	BEFORE THE
2	
	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULAR OPEN MEETING
4	Wednesday, August 17, 2022
5	Springfield, Illinois
6	
7	Met pursuant to notice at 11:40 a.m., at
8	527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield, Illinois.
9	
10	PRESENT:
11	CARRIE ZALEWSKI, Chairperson
12	ANN McCABE, Commissioner
13	D. ETHAN KIMBREL, Commissioner
14	MICHAEL T. CARRIGAN, Commissioner
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	BRIDGES COURT REPORTING, by
21	BRENDA L. ZEITLER, CSR LICENSE NO. 084-004062
22	

1 CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Good morning. Thanks 2 for everyone's patience with us this morning. 3 I want to do one more sound check with Judge 4 Teaque Kingsley to see if we can hear her. 5 JUDGE TEAGUE KINGSLEY: Good morning, Madam 6 Chairman. 7 CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Good morning. 8 Then with that, under the Open Meetings Act, 9 I call the August 17th, 2022, Regular Open Meeting to 10 order. 11 Commissioners Kimbrel, Carrigan, and McCabe 12 are with me in the Springfield office. We have a 13 quorum. We're going to move on to our Public Utilities 14 Agenda. 15 Under our Electric Utility Items, item E-1 16 concerns ERM #22-122, which is ComEd's filing to revise its Rider Energy Transition Assistance Charge 17 18 or "Rider ETAC." With this filing, ComEd now proposes 19 to update the cents per kilowatt-hour rate cap in 20 compliance with the Public Utilities Act. 21 Commission Staff has reviewed the filing and

Bridges Court Reporting Page: 2

recommends not suspending it.

1 Are there any objections to not suspending 2 the filing? 3 (No response.) 4 CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 5 filing is not suspended. 6 Item E-2 concerns consolidated Dockets 7 21-0607 and 21-0739 regarding an investigation of 8 ComEd concerning the rate effects of conduct admitted 9 in a Deferred Prosecution Agreement. 10 Since I have recused myself from the dockets 11 related to this ComEd investigation, I'm going to pass 12 the floor over to Commissioner Kimbrel. 13 COMMISSIONER KIMBREL: Thank you, Madam 14 As the Chair noted, Item E-2 concerns an 15 investigation of ComEd concerning the rate effects of 16 conduct admitted in its July 17, 2020, Deferred 17 Prosecution Agreement. 18 The Order finds that all parties agree that 19 ratepayer funds were not used to pay the \$200 million 20 penalty required by the DPA. The funds were allocated 21 from Exelon through two equity infusions that occurred 22 in August 2020 and October 2020. ComEd then used the

funds provided by Exelon to pay the US Treasury in
November of 2020.

The parties also agreed that, and the Order directs, that ComEd shall refund \$31,296,338, \$8,701,009 of which is interest recovered from customers through ICC jurisdictional rates and \$5,019,312, plus the appropriate interest, through FERC jurisdictional rates.

The sole disputed issue in the docket is ComEd's capital structure treatment of the \$200 million penalty ordered in the DPA. The Order rejects AG/City/CUB's proposal to reduce ComEd's common equity balance, both retroactively and all future rate cases, because, they argue, ComEd's capital structure was permanently affected by the use of Exelon funds to pay the fine associated with the DPA.

The Order finds that the argument that ComEd's receipt of the Exelon equity infusion violates the spirit of the DPA is not accurate as the DPA expressly authorizes the equity infusion of funds from ComEd's parent.

Because the equity infusions had been fully

22

1 offset by the payment of the fine by December 31, 2 2020, the equity infusions had no impact on ComEd's 3 year-end 2020 capital structure used to set 2022 4 rates. 5 Before I call this Order to vote, I believe that Commissioner McCabe would like to make a comment. 6 7 COMMISSIONER McCABE: Thank you, 8 Commissioner Kimbrel. 9 Section 4-605.5(a) of the Public Utility Act 10 states, "It is the policy of this State that public 11 utility ethical and criminal misconduct shall not be 12 tolerated." The item before us is the result of 13 ComEd's unacceptable actions to influence legislators 14 and legislation from 2011 to 2019. 15 Under CEJA and this docket, the Commission 16 has narrow authority to review ComEd's actions 17 detailed in the Deferred Prosecution Agreement. 18 The scope includes whether ratepayer funds 19 were used to pay the \$200 million criminal penalty (no), and whether ratepayer funds were expended in 20 connection with the conduct detailed in the DPA.

Bridges Court Reporting Page: 5

All parties ultimately agreed that ComEd

```
1
    should refund over $31 million through ICC-
2
    jurisdictional rates.
3
              Per the Order, ComEd ratepayers will receive
4
    a refund in April of 2023 with a separate line item on
5
    their bill. Thank you.
6
              COMMISSIONER KIMBREL: Thank you,
7
    Commissioner McCabe.
8
              Commissioner Carrigan, do you have a
9
    comment?
10
              COMMISSIONER CARRIGAN:
                                       No.
11
              COMMISSIONER KIMBREL: I will now call this
12
    order to vote. Are there any objections to approving
13
    the Order?
14
                         (No response.)
15
              COMMISSIONER KIMBREL: Hearing none, the
16
    Order is approved with a 3-0 vote with the Chair
17
    abstaining.
18
              Madam Chair, I yield the floor.
19
              CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Thank you,
20
    Commissioner Kimbrel.
21
              Okay. We're going to move on to E-3, which
    concerns Docket 21-0619, which is a complaint against
22
```

1 Ameren Illinois Company alleging that Ameren failed to 2 change Complainant's delivery service rate from a 3 non-residential rate to a residential rate. 4 The Order dismisses the complaint for 5 failure to state a cause of action upon which relief 6 can be granted. 7 Are there any objections to approving the 8 Order? 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 11 Order is approved. 12 Item E-4 concerns Docket 22-0137, which is a 13 complaint against Ameren Illinois Company regarding overbilling for work to be completed in Murphysboro. 14 15 The parties have filed a joint motion to dismiss the 16 matter with prejudice, stipulating that all matters in 17 dispute have been resolved. 18 Are there any objections to granting the 19 Joint Motion to Dismiss? 20 (No response.) 21 CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 22 Joint Motion to Dismiss is granted.

```
1
              Item E-5 concerns a petition to cancel a
2
    Certificate to operate as an Agent, Broker, and
3
    Consultant in Illinois. The Order cancels the
4
    certificate.
5
              Are there any objections to approving the
6
    order?
7
                         (No response.)
8
              CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the
9
    Order is approved.
10
              Item E-6 concerns Docket 22-0499, which is
11
    an application for a Certificate of Public Convenience
12
    and Necessity, and to construct a high voltage direct
13
    current electric service transmission line.
14
    Commission seeks a 75-day extension of the statutory
15
    deadline for Commission action to permit the parties
16
    to develop a full and accurate record.
17
              Any there any objections to granting the
18
    Motion to Extend the Deadline?
19
                         (No response.)
20
              CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the
21
    Motion is granted.
22
              Items E-7 and E-8 concern petitions to
```

1 cancel Certificates of Service Authority to install energy efficiency measures. The Orders cancel the 2 3 Certificates. 4 Are there any objections to considering 5 these items together and approving the Orders? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 8 Orders are approved. 9 Items E-9 through E-13 concern applications 10 for authority to install Energy Efficiency Measures. 11 The Orders grant the certificates, finding that the 12 Applicants meet the requirements. 13 Are there any objections to considering 14 these items together and approving the Orders? 15 (No response.) 16 CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 17 Orders are approved. 18 Moving on to our Gas Utility items, item G-1 19 concerns a complaint against People's Gas regarding 20 threats to disconnect service in Chicago. The Order 21 finds that the Complainant is no longer at risk of

Bridges Court Reporting Page: 9

disconnection and that the rest of its issues have

1 been resolved. Therefore, the Order grants the Motion 2 to Dismiss the Complaint. 3 Are there any objections to approving the 4 Order? 5 (No response.) 6 CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 7 Order is approved. 8 Item G-2 concerns a complaint against 9 People's Gas as to overcharging for services in 10 Chicago. The Order denies the complaint, finding that 11 the alleged overcharging was the result of a 12 misclassification for which the customer was 13 responsible. 14 Are there any comments on this Docket? 15 COMMISSIONER McCABE: I commend People's for 16 providing two years of refund in this case but note 17 that the customer was put on an incorrect rate after 18 installing a dryer in 2004. 19 Customers are often at a disadvantage in not 20 knowing the PUA, rate structures, and complaint 21 procedures. They are frustrated when told that, under 22 PUA Section 9-252.1, "Any complaint relating to an

```
1
    incorrect billing must be filed with the Commission no
2
    more than two years after the date the customer first
3
    had knowledge of the incorrect billing."
              This complaint and others are a reminder of
4
    how difficult it is for individuals to represent
5
6
    themselves.
                 Thank you.
7
              CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Anybody else?
8
                         (No response.)
9
              CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Are there any
10
    objections to approving the Order?
11
                         (No response.)
12
              CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the
13
    Order is approved.
14
              Under Telecommunications Items, Item T-1
15
    consent to request for a partial waiver by the three
16
    Local Exchange Carriers, or LECs. The waiver they
17
    seek would permit them to substitute the printed paper
18
    directories that are statutorily required to be
19
    delivered to customers with an online copy instead.
20
              The Order grants the request, finding that
21
    no harm to customers would result from the partial
22
    waiver.
```

1 Are there any objections to approving the 2 Order? 3 (No response.) 4 CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 5 Order is approved. 6 Item T-2 concerns a petition by Alliant 7 Technologies to cancel its Certificate of Service 8 Authority to provide Commercial Mobile Radio Service. 9 The Order finds that there is no harm to 10 Illinois consumers from the requested relinguishment 11 as it will not result in any Illinois customers 12 experiencing a discontinuance of service. The Order 13 grants the petition and cancels the Certificate. 14 Are there any objections to approving the 15 Order? 16 (No response.) 17 CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 18 Order is approved. Item W-1 concerns Aqua Illinois' Application 19 20 for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity 21 to Provide Water and Waste Water Service in DuPage and 22 Cook Counties. In addition, Agua seeks approval of

```
1
    the purchase of certain assets between Aqua and the
2
    Village of Oakbrook.
3
              The Order grants the certificate, finding
4
    that the Applicant meets the certification
5
    requirements. The Order also approves the Asset
6
    Purchase Agreement and the proposed rates and the
7
    terms and conditions of service.
8
              Are there any objections to approving the
9
    Order?
10
                         (No response.)
11
              CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the
12
    Order is approved.
13
              This concludes our agenda. Judge Teague
14
    Kingsley, do you have other matters to come before the
15
    Commission today?
16
              JUDGE TEAGUE KINGSLEY: No, Madam Chairman.
17
              CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Do other
18
    commissioners have business to discuss?
19
              COMMISSIONER CARRIGAN:
                                       My office is
20
    currently transitioning advisors, and I would like to
21
    acknowledge that we have said farewell last month and
22
    good luck to my first advisor, Sarah Cronin, as she
```

embarks on a new role as an attorney at Power and
Cronin Law Firm. Sarah was an invaluable, outstanding
advisor and team player.

Ashley and I -- Ashley, my other assistant, and I appreciate her thoughtful advice, insight, and utmost professionalism. Sarah left the ICC having served as an advisor for over three years. We will miss her, and we wish her the best personally and professionally.

And then on a second item, I'd like to announce that I, we welcome Noah Berman as my new advisor. Noah started yesterday. Noah is very familiar with the ICC, having interned with the Chair's office last winter. Noah is a graduate of the University of Chicago, having received a Master's of Public Policy with honors and certificates in energy policy and data analysis.

We are very excited and thankful to have Noah on board, and we look forward to working with him. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: Thank you. Anything else?

1 (No response.) 2. CHAIRPERSON ZALEWSKI: I want to echo your 3 congratulations to both Sarah and Noah. We wish Sarah the best, and we are glad to have Noah back. 4 5 I just want to note that, speaking of 6 interns, this is the last week that we have one of our interns, Sydney Weiss, who did an incredible job 8 interning in my office over the summer. She is a 2L 9 night student at Kent Law and also a full-time water 10 expert at the US EPA Water Division. 11 I don't know when she sleeps, but I am 12 grateful for all of her help and the time she has 13 given us. She's done a great job and has exemplified 14 and demonstrated great aptitude for an obviously 15 complex subject matter in a short time. 16 So I think there are going to be big things for her ahead in her future. We wish her luck and 17 18 thank her for her service. 19 If there are no other comments then and no 20 objections, the meeting will now be adjourned. Thank 21 you. 22 (Meeting adjourned at 11:56 a.m.)